

Introduction

Prairies were historically one of the most common ecosystems in Minnesota, covering approximately 1/3 of the state. Remnant prairies are valued for their high species diversity, ability to resist nonnative invaders, and herbivore and native pollinator habitat (Barak et al. 2017, Welti et al. 2017, Tonietto and Larkin 2018).

Achieving high plant species diversity in restorations is challenging and expensive (Prairie Restorations 2013). Allowing abandoned agricultural fields to be colonized by native prairie species from a neighboring remnant may be an alternative. Seeding native grasses into and managing preestablished communities was found to produce similar species richness as that of new restorations but with improved seedling recruitment (Link et al. 2017, Woosaree and Otfinowski 2018).

This study compared species composition in remnant prairies, restored prairies, and abandoned agricultural land by using the vegan package in R. This approach focuses on how management might be implemented if only overall species composition was considered.

Methods

I collected species composition data across 27, randomly selected 4x1 meter plots in 3 land use areas at Hegg Lake Wildlife Management Area in Kensington, MN, during July and August of 2017:

- Remnant prairies (9 plots)
- Restored prairies (9 plots)
- Abandoned agricultural land (9 plots)

I identified all species present in each plot. I also grouped grasses together to determine the cover percentages of native and non-native grasses (classes: 0, 5, 25, 50, 75, 95, 99 %) (McCune et al. 2002).

I used the vegan package in R to investigate species diversity across plots in relation to their relative species diversity and native and nonnative grass cover percentages.



Hegg Lake Wildlife Management Area, Kensington, MN, with abandoned agricultural field, remnant prairie, and restored prairie sections.

Attaining High Species Diversity in Prairies with Low Initial Restoration Investment

Wesley Braker¹ and Stuart Wagenius² (Advisor) ¹Department of Biology, St. Olaf College, Northfield, MN ²Conservation Science, Chicago Botanic Garden, Glencoe, IL

Results



Land Use Agricultural Remnant Restoration Percent Native Grass Cover 40, 60, 801

Higher percent native grass cover is associated with remnant prairies and low percent native grass cover is associated with abandoned agricultural land.



NMDS2



Abandoned agricultural land has significantly lower percent native grass cover than remnant and restored prairies (TukeyHSD = Remnant-Agricultural: $p \le 0.0056$, Restoration-Agricultural: $p \le 0.0006$).

Percent Non-Native Grass Cover, 25 50 75 Land Use Agricultural Remnant Restoration

High percent of non-native grass cover occurs in abandoned agricultural land, while restored prairie and remnant prairie share a lower percentage of non-native grass cover.

Abandoned agricultural land has a significantly higher percent of nonnative grass cover than remnant prairies, but do not differ significantly from that of restored prairies (TukeyHSD = Agricultural-Remnant: $p \le 0.05$, Agricultural-Restored: $p \le 0.096$).

Results

- Remnant prairies have the most diverse species composition amongst land use areas.
- Restored prairies have the least diverse species composition amongst land use areas.
- Abandoned agricultural land species composition is between that of remnant and restored prairies.

- Native grass cover in remnant and restored prairies is significantly higher than that in abandoned agricultural land

Implications

Restored prairies have a high percentage cover of native grasses while plant species composition of abandoned agricultural land overlaps with that of remnant prairies. If our goal is to reach remnant-level species composition, managing abandoned agricultural fields may retain higher species composition than traditional prairie restorations. A major caveat to this approach is that only some ecosystem niches are filled by non-native individuals.

I would like to thank Gretel Kiefer for help with plant identification and Professor Diane Angell for guidance with data analysis. Glenwood office of the Minnesota DNR provided land use permission at Hegg Lake WMA. This research was supported by an REU supplement to NSF award 1557075.

Barak, R. S., E. W.
Restored tallgrass
Applied Ecology
Link, A., B. Kobiel
Seeding Toward H
Management 70:5
McCune, B., J. B. C
Design.
Prairie Restorations
Restorations, Inc.
Fonietto, R. K., and
of Applied Ecolog
Welti, E., C. Helzer
herbivore network
Woosaree, J., and R
northern fescue pr

Discussion

While not displaying distinct boundaries between land use types, the ordination gives an idea of the general species composition trends in each:

Percent cover of native and non-native grasses also differs between land use types:

Non-native grass cover in abandoned agricultural land is significantly higher than that in remnant prairies.

Acknowledgments

Literature Cited

Williams, A. L. Hipp, M. L. Bowles, G. M. Carr, R. Sherman, and D. J. Larkin. 2017. prairies have reduced phylogenetic diversity compared with remnants. Journal of **54**:1080-1090.

la, S. DeKeyser, and M. Huffington. 2017. Effectiveness of Burning, Herbicide, and Restoring Rangelands in Southeastern North Dakota. Rangeland Ecology & 599-603

Grace, and D. L. Urban. 2002. Analysis of Ecological Communities. MjM Software

s, I. 2013. Cost Estimates for Prairie Restorations, Inc Contracting Services. Prairie

D. J. Larkin. 2018. Habitat restoration benefits wild bees: A meta-analysis. Journal gy **55**:582-590.

; and A. Joern. 2017. Impacts of plant diversity on arthropod communities and plantk architecture. Ecosphere 8:14.

R. Otfinowski. 2018. Importance of species diversity in the revegetation of Alberta's prairies. Biodiversity and Conservation 27:665-680.